1	CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY
2	MONTHLY MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
7	
8	
9	
10	Sacramento City Hall
11	915 I Street, City Council Chambers
12	Sacramento, California 95814
13	
14	Friday, March 29, 2013
15	9:05 a.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	BRITTANY FLORES
24	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
25	LICENSE NO. 13460
	CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC (415) 457-4417

```
1
                        APPEARANCES
 2
    BOARD MEMBERS
3
    Mr. Dan Richard, Chairman
 4
5
    Mr. Tom Richards, Vice-Chair
    Ms. Lynn Schenk, Vice-Chair
6
7
    Mr. Jim Hartnett
8
    Mr. Michael Rossi
9
    Mr. Thomas Umberg
10
11
    STAFF
    Ms. Geny Baldini, Board Secretary
12
13
    ALSO PRESENT
14
15
    Mr. Jeff Morales, CEO
16
    Mr. Thomas Fellenz, Esq., Legal Counsel
17
18
19
20
                               --000--
21
22
23
24
25
        · CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC (415) 457-4417 -
```

1		
2	I N D E X	
3		Page
4		
5	Public comment	5
6		
7	Item 1, Approval of Minutes from March 18, 2013	24
8		
9	Item 2, Election of Officers	24
10		
11	Item 3, Consideration of request to the	
12	High-Speed Passenger Train Finance Committee	27
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	000	
24		
25		
	CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC (415) 457-4417	

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA, March 29, 2013 1 2 9:05 a.m. 3 --000--4 5 6 MR. FELLENZ: Okay. Dan's not here yet, so 7 Tom Umberg is just going to start the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance and then go through the minutes of 8 the last meeting, and then we'll have a closed session. 10 So we're just going to go ahead and proceed. So I'm 11 going to have someone come in here and turn on the mic, 12 and then you'll be live in the main room. 13 MR. RICHARDS: Okay. So what we're going to 14 do is we'll open the meeting with the Pledge of 15 Allegiance, we'll have public comment, and then we'll go into closed session; is that what we're doing? 16 17 MR. FELLENZ: Yes. We'll keep public 18 There's not many people here. If we get comment. 19 through that, and Dan's not here, we'll go to Agenda 20 Item 1, Approval of the Minutes from the last meeting, 2.1 and then we'll go into closed session. 22 MR. HARTNETT: Okay. This is Jim Hartnett. 23 We also have no members of the public here. 24 MS. SCHENK: We did not have any in Orange 25 County either.

```
MR. RICHARDS: We do have members of the
1
2
    public here along with the supervisor.
3
                MR. UMBERG: This is Tom Umberg in
    Sacramento. Can everyone hear me in Fresno, Orange
4
5
    County, and in Redwood City? Apparently so.
6
           So I see Chair Richard coming in. I was about to
7
    stage a coup, but he has just entered the room.
                                                      So as
8
    Chair Richards enters, if we could rise for the Pledge
    of Allegiance.
10
11
                (Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
12
13
                MR. UMBERG: All right. So let me ask
14
    Commissioner Schenk, is the treaty okay in Orange
    County?
15
16
           Uh-Oh. No response.
17
                MS. SCHENK: We can't hear.
18
                MR. HARTNETT: Mr. Umberg, this is Jim
19
    Hartnett. I think you need to get closer to the
    microphone.
20
2.1
                MR. UMBERG: How about now?
                                              This is Tom
22
    Umberg in Sacramento.
23
                MR. RICHARDS: It still needs to be closer
24
    if you can.
25
                MR. UMBERG: I am right on top of
```

```
the microphone. Okay.
1
                MR. RICHARDS: Well, that's better.
2
3
                MR. UMBERG: Maybe turn up the volume there
4
    so -- all right.
5
           Ms. Schenk, are the treaties okay in Orange
6
    County?
7
                MS. SCHENK: Ah, yes.
                MR. UMBERG: All right. Well, I'll turn it
8
9
    over to Chair Richard.
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning. Thank you
10
11
    very much, Mr. Umberg. I apologize for being a few
12
    minutes late, but I appreciate your picking up the
    slack. And we will -- let me just do a sound checkoff
13
14
    of my microphone.
15
           Mr. Hartnett, Redwood City?
16
                MR. HARTNETT: Yes, we can hear you.
17
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. And Vice-Chair
18
    Schenk in Costa Mesa?
19
                MS. SCHENK: Yes, I can hear you.
20
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Very good. Okay. Great.
2.1
    First item up is the -- oh, I'm sorry. Tom Richards in
    Fresno.
22
                MR. RICHARDS: Yes, we hear you here.
23
24
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. So the first thing
25
    is we'll ask the secretary to please take the roll.
```

```
MS. BALDINI: Vice-Chair Schenk.
1
2
                MS. SCHENK: Yes.
3
                MS. BALDINI: Vice-Chair Richards.
                MR. RICHARDS: Here.
 4
5
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Umberg.
                MR. UMBERG: Here.
6
7
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Hartnett.
                MR. HARTNETT: Here.
8
9
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Rossi.
                MR. ROSSI: Here.
10
11
                MS. BALDINI: Chairman Richard.
12
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Here. Okay.
                                                  The first
13
    item we have is the approval of minutes from the last --
14
    I have public comment cards on top of that item.
15
    sorry. Okay. The first item we have is public comment,
16
    and let me just go around the state, and we have three
17
    comment cards here, which we'll take in order in just a
18
    moment.
19
           Ms. Schenk, Vice-Chair Schenk, in Costa Mesa, do
20
    you have members of the public who wish to speak?
2.1
                MS. SCHENK: No, we do not, Chairman.
22
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Mr. Hartnett in Redwood
23
    City, do you have members of the public who wish to
24
    speak?
25
                MR. HARTNETT: No, we do not.
```

```
CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Vice-Chair Richards in
1
2
    Fresno, do you have members of the public who wish to
3
    speak?
                MR. RICHARDS: Yes, we do Mr. Chairman.
 4
                                                          Wе
5
    have five.
6
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: All right. Why don't I
7
    ask you then, we'll start -- we have three here and five
    in Fresno. So why don't you start with one of the
8
    speakers in Fresno. We'll do two there, and then we'll
10
    take the ones here.
11
                MR. RICHARDS: All right. Mr. Chairman, in
12
    Fresno, we have Supervisor Henry Perea
13
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. Good morning,
14
    Supervisor.
15
                MR. PEREA: Good morning. I just wanted to
    wish you all a happy Easter and glad to be here with you
16
17
    all today.
18
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you.
19
                MR. RICHARDS: Were you able to hear that,
    Mr. Chairman?
20
2.1
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: We were. We appreciate
22
    his good wishes for a happy Easter holiday.
23
                MR. RICHARDS: All right. In Fresno, we'll
24
    start with Mr. John Young.
25
           Mr. Young, if you can come up there, it will be
```

helpful for everybody to hear you.

2.1

MR. YOUNG: All I have is a question on some more specific info on a route between Bakersfield and Fresno and from Bakersfield to Lancaster, and I, I brought some maps here and I -- just a suggestion so that you don't have to go through Tehachapi, and maybe you can tell me a good or bad reason why my suggestion to go from Bakersfield toward the curve around clockwise and go up the north side of the mountains between LA and Bakersfield and up the back and then go east to Lancaster -- that way, you don't have to claim a high altitude that way, and you don't get in the way of the freight trains. So that's all I need is just an answer.

MR. RICHARDS: All right. Thank you,
Mr. Young. And we will forward the maps to the members
that Mr. Young has left with us.

Next in Fresno is Maureen Fagundes.

If you wouldn't mind coming over here -- I'm sorry -- come around the table, it will be easier for everybody.

MS. FAGUNDES: Good morning from Fresno. I have attended many of the public meetings before, and I'm here thinking, public comment doesn't make any difference at all. I'm going to make it anyway. But I was thinking in terms of projects, whether it be large

or small, you always have a process and a product, and over the time I have been with this project, I feel as though possibly the Authority has lost sight of the process, and it's more involved in the product than the process, and I encourage the Authority and its board members to go back and revisit Proposition 1-A and what are the constraints. I encourage the board members to question why they serve and who they serve. In a private conversation with Mr. Richard, he did say that the board served the people, and I feel as though the board members do need to listen to the will of the people whether it be big or small. I think there are --we are willing to sit down and listen to both sides. I thank you very much.

2.1

MR. RICHARDS: Thank you, Ms. Fagundes.

Next, Mr. Chairman, is Allen Scott.

Allen, do you mind coming around the table?

MR. ALLEN: Good morning, Chairman and members. Happy Easter. However, on this day I am perplexed and confused and concerned that we're doing a meeting where I don't see any urgency or any emergency or a need to be here on a recognized religious holiday that since my childhood, my parents' childhood, a childhood before that, where this day was horned as pretty much a day where people would celebrate in their

```
own faith, and I am very, very disturbed that we're
having the third meeting in 3 days. We're going to have
a fourth meeting, and again, I don't see the urgency of
it. I understand the background of the industry, and I
have a lot of papers written up, but I'm just going to
say that it has been referenced in Kings County, and I
have actually heard them. I did not go solicit them,
and the questions were, "Why are you meeting on Good
Friday?" "Why are they meeting on Good Friday?" "Why
are they meeting on Passover?" And my question to you
is you need to be able to answer that question back to
the people of California. This is a little bit
disturbing. This whole project is disturbing but the
bottom line is even getting involved on a national
holiday -- actually a worldwide holiday -- I'm not happy
about it and I have got people that have talked to me
and talked to our members that are not very pleased
about it, and I was at a meeting last night, and it got
brought up again. And I don't like coming here to bring
these things like this up but it's really -- it's
upsetting, but in the end, it's Good Friday and Passover
and holidays. Thank you.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. RICHARDS: Thank you, Mr. Scott.

And our final speaker in Fresno is Ross Browning.

MR. BROWNING: Yes. Good morning,

```
Mr. Chairman and board members. I also heard a lot of the same things, so I won't bother to repeat it, but I also raise some concern as to why it was so urgent to have this meeting on this holiday. Makes no sense to me as it does to someone else.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I, again, must say I am somewhat disappointed in the board in its actions, in the high-speed rail situation as it was supposed. This is not what I voted for when I voted back then. I was curious whether or not it could be made, be built under the parameters that were outlined in the Prop 1-A, but legislature said they could do it, and it was a legislature decision, and if they say they can do it, well, they know. They sit there and talk about nothing but "go up." Now, they talk about a subsidy whether income subsidy going into Prop 1-A. It's not following existing transportation corridors. It's not going to be the most efficient route. We can go on and on, and so I'm very disappointed in the action of the High-Speed Rail Authority. Thank you very much and have a very good holiday.

MR. RICHARDS: That was the final speaker from Fresno, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: All right. We will take three speakers -- excuse me -- four speakers that we

have we in order here. And, and then I, I would like to make one comment before we go into closed session.

2.1

So first is Robert Allen. He'll be followed by Kathy Hamilton.

MR. ALLEN: I have given you, repeatedly, a comment that the blended rail is unsafe, hazardous, dangerous and I hear nothing in comment from -- or even inquiry -- from the staff or the board itself. There are several reasons it's hazardous. There -- with operating under the commute tracks, there are 43 grid crossings. There's innumerable station platforms where there's no protection between people standing, waiting for a train and the track. The whole concept involves a lot of tunnelling, which is not part of this immediate program.

An alternative, which is far safer and would serve more people better, is to go from Santa Clara north along the UP line used by Amtrak for many years, the Amtrak coast trains, to a new BART high-speed rail intermodal station where the BART track crosses over the rail line in west Oakland near the interaction of Interstate 880 and 7th Street. That would be far better for everybody. It would serve the entire Bay Area. It would provide good rail access between the peninsula, San Francisco, and the entire Bay Area with trains going

to Sacramento. Thank you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you. Kathy Hamilton followed by David Schwegel.

MS. HAMILTON: Hi. This is Kathy Hamilton. I'm here on behalf of Community Coalition on High-Speed Rail. Happy Easter. Hope you all have a lovely holiday. I wanted to talk to you a little bit about the validation lawsuit. It seems to preempt the Prop 1-A lawsuit that was filed in 2011, which indeed has objections to how the voter initiative has been followed in this project. There is incomplete and inaccurate environmental work. The funding agreement is inaccurate and premature to be filed when it was back in 2011. Νo doubt, the validation was filed to give the FRA comfort that you'll be able to use State funds, and it sort of preempts -- if it's taken before the civil court case -preempt Prop 1-A. I remind you that the board as well as the treasury is supposed to follow the law, which is A through K, and those laws have not been followed.

I also want to remind you in the revised funding agreement that the FRA also says that you have to follow the law, and the reason they say that is they want to make sure that you can get the State funds. So it seems to me that you should withdraw the suit, allow due process on the Prop 1-A lawsuit, and not try to

interfere with an interpretation on a voter initiative. That's not the purpose of a validation suit. You know you have objections to that suit, and I would respect you greatly if you would do the right thing in this case. Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Ms. Hamilton, I don't normally comment on people's comments, but I just have to say to you since you, since you write on these things, I think your underlying predicate that the purpose of validation action is somehow preempt the existing challenge on Prop 1-A is not a correct legal analysis. So I would just leave, leave that with you in that way, but thank you for your comments.

David Schwegel and -- followed by Dan Dolan, our last speaker.

MR. SCHWEGEL: Good morning fellow leaders.

David Schwegel here to thank you for your outstanding service, and I also want to acknowledge, with the Authority staffed with less than 100, you're, perhaps, one of the most influential per capita agencies nationwide, and you are leading the way with your \$68 billion, bargain of the century, to a much more sustainable America, and America most definitely needs it. I was reading on the way in this morning that America typically spends around \$133 billion per year on

wars fighting over oil.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

On a different note, the American Society of Civil Engineers Infrastructure report card came out last week giving rail the grade of C plus in the systems of freight, and then we also have aviation and roads and transits coming in at a D. Interesting to note are our annual invoices in America. Aviation congestion, \$22 billion a year. Roadway congestion, \$101 billion a year. Roadway collisions \$250 billion a year. And this is something interesting, deficient and deteriorating transit systems -- like the one I road in on this morning -- \$90 billion per year. That was okay for me because I got a good 15, 20 block walk out of it, but it poses challenges for some folks that may not be as open to walking as I am. And, of course, 45 percent of Americans lack access to transit. Look for the \$68 billion bargain of the century to significantly improve these grades as well as overcoming these worrisome statistics.

Finally, on the subject of the statewide integrated system of mass transits stationary development, our friends with the City of Roseville are very pleased to learn about their downtown specific plans role in such a statewide system. Thank you so much. Keep up the great work

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Mr. Schwegel.

Our last speaker, Dan Dolan.

Good morning, Mr. Dolan.

2.1

MR. DOLAN: Good morning. Good morning to all of you, CEO Jeff Morales and Chairman Dan Richards and the board. I have \$20 in my pocket to pay for my daughter's college tuition, but I am happy to say that you, after the passage of the minutes in a few minutes, will have \$3.7 billion to spend on the initial construction segment. I want to remind Mr. Morales and Dan Richard that you guys were in Washington D.C. on January 9th when Louie Caneras explained to Tomas Fellenz and John Tapping the importance and usefulness of \$2.5 million title policy would be to protect those \$3.6 billion funding, and I urge you to give him a call and just hear him out.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Dolan.

That concludes our public comment period. I'd like to just make one brief comment about today's special board meeting. I certainly do understand the comments that people made about meeting today, which is a sacred day for many people. It is not an official State holiday, and we were informed that even though the Bond Act provides that that \$950 million of interconnectivity funds that were inherent in

Proposition 1-A are to be distributed by the California Transportation Commission, it is their province to decide how that money is spent. In fact, the mechanical process of seeking to have the money authorized and moved into the, the realm of the treasurer, who would issue this bonds, has to start with this body, and it further turned out that there are a number of critical interconnection projects, which, again, were sponsored by the California Transportation Commission that are moving forward and need to move into the bond sale process. And so we were asked to accelerate our efforts to authorize -- or request the authorization of those dollars so that there would be no disruption in important needed local project which have a connectivity relationship with high-speed rail but are not the high-speed rail project themselves. That was why it was requested that we meet today to process this request.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So I wanted to give people that context so they would understand. In fact, as part of the transparency of this board, we are meeting again next week in various locations to consider -- a real concentration in the Valley, in Fresno -- to consider very important questions about potential alignments, and that, I hope, will provide further information for people like the Fagundes Family and the Browning Family and so forth as

```
we consider various alignment options that could have a
1
2
    greater or lesser impact on those communities, and we
    intend to have a very full meeting there with
3
    presentations by staff and participation by the public.
 4
5
    And that's the kind of meeting that we wanted to make
6
    sure had adequate notice and adequate opportunity for
7
    people to access the meeting.
           So I wanted to put today's proceeding in context
8
9
    in terms of what it is and also in relation to upcoming
    proceedings of the board. So with that, the board will
10
    now enter into closed session.
11
12
                MR. HARTNETT: Mr. Chair, this is Jim
13
    Hartnett. I may have missed it, but did we approve the
14
    minutes? I don't think so.
15
                MS. SCHENK: No, we have not. Same question
16
    here.
17
                MR. HARTNETT: I move the minutes.
18
                MR. UMBERG: Second.
19
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: It was moved by
20
    Mr. Hartnett, seconded by Mr. Umberg.
2.1
           Will the secretary please call the roll.
                MS. BALDINI: Vice-chair Schenk.
22
23
                MS. SCHENK: Let me ask Mr. Fellenz, since I
24
    was not at the meeting, should I abstain?
25
                MR. FELLENZ: No, Vice-Chair Schenk, you can
```

```
1
    vote.
2
                MS. SCHENK: Okay. I can vote on the
3
    minutes, Yes.
                MS. BALDINI: Vice-Chair Richards.
 4
5
                MR. RICHARDS: Yes.
6
                MS. BALDINI: Vice-chair -- excuse me.
7
    Mr. Umberg.
8
                MR. UMBERG: Yes.
9
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Hartnett.
                MR. HARTNETT: Yes
10
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Rossi.
11
12
                MR. ROSSI: Yes
13
                MS. BALDINI: Chairman Richard.
14
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yes.
           Okay. Now that I'm actually looking at the
15
    agenda, thank you, Mr. Hartnett, for that.
16
17
           Now, we will enter into a closed session
18
    pertaining to litigation. Our chief council tells me to
19
    expect this to go no more than 30 minutes, and we'll try
    to keep it a little tighter than that, but for those who
20
2.1
    are following the proceedings, you can anticipate we'll
    be back here between 20 and 30 minutes from now.
22
                MS. SCHENK: And we need to call back in on
23
24
    a --
25
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: On a separate line,
```

```
that's right.
1
2
                MS. SCHENK: Thank you.
3
    (Whereupon the Authority entered into closed session.)
4
5
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. So everybody is
6
7
    back with us. We're back in open session. We have no
    items to report from the closed session.
8
           The next item on our agenda is Item 3,
10
    Consideration of a Request to the High-Speed Rail
    High-Speed Passenger Train Finance Committee to approve
11
12
    resolutions relating to the issue of bonds and
13
    Commercial Paper Notes as indicated on the agenda.
14
           Mr. Fellenz, would you like to present this item?
                MR. FELLENZ: Yes. Chairman Richard and
15
    board members, this is a request to the board to
16
    authorize the issuance of -- to ask the Finance
17
18
    Committee to authorize the issuance of bond commercial
    paper for the conductivity fees in the bond proceeds in
19
    the amount of $810,235,000.
20
2.1
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: All right. And as I
22
    indicated before, this is for the connectivity projects,
23
    and I think, at this point, everybody knows what those
24
    are, and they were approved last summer by the
25
    California Transportation Commission.
```

```
Are there any questions of members with respect
1
    to this item?
2
3
                MR. RICHARDS: I don't have any questions,
    Mr. Chairman, but I would make a motion for approval.
4
5
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: All right. I was just
6
    going to call for a motion. It's been moved by
    Vice-Chair Richards. Is there a second?
7
8
                MR. ROSSI: Second.
9
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. Moved by
    Vice-Chair Richards, seconded by Board Member Rossi.
10
11
           Will the secretary please call the roll.
12
                MS. BALDINI: Vice-Chair Schenk.
13
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: I'm sorry, Lynn.
                                                      We got
14
    feedback. If the Vice-Chair could again express her
15
    position on this.
16
                MS. SCHENK: Yeah, yes.
17
                CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you.
                MS. BALDINI: Vice-Chair Richards.
18
19
                MR. RICHARDS: Yes.
20
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Umberg.
2.1
                MR. UMBERG: Aye.
22
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Hartnett.
23
                MR. HARTNETT: Yes.
24
                MS. BALDINI: Mr. Rossi.
25
                MR. ROSSI: Yes.
```

MS. BALDINI: Chairman Richard. 1 2 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Aye. All right. With 3 that, the matter passes, and that resolution will be conveyed to the appropriate bodies. 4 Seeing no other matters to come before the board, 5 6 at this point, we will be -- excuse me one second. 7 CEO has an issue. 8 Our CEO, Mr. Morales, very appropriately asked me 9 to clarify that at our next public meeting, which is 10 next Thursday, April 4th, there will be an opportunity 11 for public comment at all of the locations that have 12 been noticed with the notice for that meeting. 13 So with that, I'd like to adjourn this meeting. 14 Wish everybody a happy holiday. Thank you. 15 16 (Whereupon the proceeding concluded at 10:04 p.m.) 17 18 --000--19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25 CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC (415) 457-4417 -

I, Brittany Flores, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of 1 2 the State of California, duly authorized to administer 3 oaths, do hereby certify: That the foregoing proceedings were 4 5 taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; 6 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior 7 to testifying, were duly swore; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which 8 was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony 10 11 given. 12 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript () was () was not requested. 15 16 I further certify I am neither 17 financially interested in the action nor a relative or 18 employee of any attorney of party to this action. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. 2.1 22 Dated: 23 2.4 25 Brittany Flores CSR 13460

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC (415) 457-4417